Input Method UI, Architecture, Algorithm, and Future

Presented by Daiki Ueno

Who am I?

Free software contributor

- Committer of Emacs, GnuPG, GNUTLS, and GNOME
- @ueno at github, ohloh
- One of the core developers of IBus
 - Intelligent Input Bus, an input method framework
 - http://code.google.com/p/ibus/
 - Wrote surrounding-text, Dconf support, etc.
 - Maintainer of ibus-m17n

Today's Topics

- 1.Intro
- What's input method and how people use it
 2.UI
 - Common UI elements of IM
- 3.Architecture
 - What components are inside IM
- 4.Algorithm
 - Algorithms behind complex IM
- 5.Future

Intro

What is input method?

- Mechanism to input native text to application
 - Through UI toolkits or XIM protocol
- Two IM types
 - Character based IM
 - Cangjie, Hangeul, Indic, Thai, Vietnamese
 - Sentence based IM
 - PinYin, Japanese

Character based IM (CBIM)

- Input sequence is mapped to characters
 - Cangjie, Hangeul, Indic, Thai, Vietnamese



7 keyboard chars are mapped to 7 Kannada chars = 1:1

Sentence based IM (SBIM)

- Input sequence is mapped to sentences
 - PinYin, Japanese
 - Candidate sentences are provided as a list
 - The most likely sentence will be shown in front of the list
 - User chooses a desired sentence through some UI



Summary of CBIM and SBIM

- CBIM Easy
 - Can be implemented with simple dictionary lookup
- SBIM Not so easy
 - More tasks
 - How to split input sequence into words
 - How to find most likely output sequence
 - How to recover from failed conversion

Some of IM are hybrid of CBIM and SBIM

Hybrid example: Japanese

- Input sequence
 - kyouhaiitenkidesune
- Japanese alphabets (Kana)
 - きょうはいいてんきですね
- Japanese sentences (Kana + Kanji)
 - <u>今日</u>はいい<u>天気</u>ですね
 - きょうは<u>良い天気</u>ですね

Character conversion 1:1

Sentence conversion 1:N

Some Kana chars are translated to Kanji (Chinese) chars

UI

Basic UI elements

Present intermediate user input, hints, and candidates



<u>Additional UI elements</u>

- Some IM implement more UI elements
 - Drawing pad for handwriting recognition
 - Character map

∫ ■ *Unsaved Document 1 ×									
Arabic ~									
ъ		-	le .	Ц	ز	-	د	-	
:			4	Ş	06 20	۶	Ĩ	ĺ	
ۇ	Į	ئ	Ι	ب	ö	ت	ث	5	
5	ż	د	ذ	ر	ز	س	ش	ص	



Architecture

IM components

- IM client
 - Gtk/Qt immodule, XIM server
- IM engine (IME)
 - ibus-pinyin, scim-anthy
- IM panel (UI)

Communicate with each other

Single process or multi-process

- Single process
 - Fcitx, uim, SCIM
 - Pros: lightweight, easy to add UI component
 - Cons: one component can crash the whole system
- Multi-process
 - IBus
 - Pros: robust against crashes
 - Cons: high latency because of IPC

Multi-process architecture



Client: Hook into GUI toolkits

- Plugged into GUI toolkits through dynamic modules, called <u>IM modules</u>
- Text widgets shall call "hooks" of IM modules

Algorithm

Recall the example

- Input sequence
 - kyouhaiitenkidesune
- Japanese alphabets (kana)
 - きょうはいいてんきですね
- Japanese sentences (kana + kanji)
 - 今日はいい天気ですね
 - きょうは良い天気ですね



Not so easy

Sentence conversion

- Rule based approach
 - Pros: easy to tune to each case
 - Cons: need to maintain thousands of rules
- Statistics based approach
 - Pros: maintenance cost is low
 - Cons: difficult to tune to corner cases

- 1.Split an input sequence into all possible sub sequences
 - き | ょうはいいてんきですね
 - きょ | うはいいてんきですね
 - ••••
 - きょう | は | いいてんきですね
 - きょう | はい | いてんきですね
 - ••••
 - きょう | は | いい | てんきですね



 $N' = \sum_{k=1}^{N} C_k$

- 2.Lookup words for each sub sequence
 - 木 | ょうはいいてんきですね
 - 巨|うはいいてんきですね
 - ••••
 - 今日 | は | いいてんきですね
 - 今日 | 杯 | いてんきですね
 - ••••
 - 今日 | は | 良い | てんきですね

3.Construct a graph of $\sim N' + 2$ nodes



Transition cost

- Obtained from <u>language model</u>
- Language model construction
 - 1. Count occurrences of words in corpus
 - 2. Count occurrences of word pairs in corpus
 - 3. Compute probability of each occurrence
 - 4. Smoothing
- Some free software tools are available
 - MITLM, Palmkit

4. Find other candidates



A* (A-star) search from BOS, using cumulative cost saved on each node

Algorithms

- Finding the most likely sentence
 - Compute the shortest path with Viterbi algorithm
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Viterbi_algorithm
- Finding the other possible sentences
 - Backward A* search from EOS
 - http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/A*_search_algorithm
- Changing word boundary
 - Add constraints when building the graph

Algorithm improvements

- Use 3-gram rather than 2-gram
 - Accuracy will be improved
 - Possible, but a bit too complex
- Compress language models
 - Use succinct data structure

Future

Challenges I

- Using context information
 - Language detection
 - Switch IME automatically based on document
 - Predictive input
 - Use preceding N words to predict the next input
 - Similar algorithm as statistic sentence conversion
 - How to retrieve context information?
 - GTK+: surrounding-text, input purposes and hints

Challenges II

- Support for restricted input environment
 - Mobile input
 - Maybe the complex SBIM algorithm is not suitable
 - Maybe predictive input is good enough
 - Approximate matching for mistyped words
 - Accessibility
 - Integrate with scanning mode
 - visual input with a single key

Recent development topics of IBus

- Improve performance of initial startup
- Switch to binary based cache
 - Currently it is in XML
- Detect newly installed IME
 - Currently user needs to restart ibus-daemon
- Use XInput2 raw events to utilize long press
- Support GSettings in proper way
 - Currently it directly uses Dconf library

Hints on development

- Concentrate on "plumbing" rather than UI
 - Desktop UI trends are rapidly changing
- Make the core algorithm reusable
 - Turn it into a library
 - libpinyin, libhangul, m17n-lib, libskk, libchewing
 - Allow access from various programming languages
 - Be flexible about IM framework mushrooms
 - IBus, Fcitx, uim, SCIM, HIME, ...

Summary

- Three aspects of modern IM are presented
 - UI elements of IM
 - Single process vs multi-process
 - Rule based or statistic based algorithm
- There are still rooms for improvement

Questions?

Contact: ueno@fedoraproject.org